

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/071,177	02/08/2002	Yoshitaka Inui	2718.3071.001	8631
23399	7590 12/31/2003		EXAM	INER
	ETHINGTON, BARNI	JOHNSON, R	AYMOND B	
P O BOX 4390 TROY, MI 48099-4390			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3652	

DATE MAILED: 12/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)

Johnson, R.B

—The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet beneath the correspondence address—

Period for Reply

Status

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE CO E() MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication .
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).

Responsive to communication(s) filed on
☐ This action is FINAL.
Since this application to the same same same same same same same sam

 Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal mat accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1 1; 453 	ters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in
Disposition of Claims	
Claim(s)	
Of the above claim(s)	is/are pending in the application.
	is/are withdrawn from
□ Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
□ Claim(s)	is/are rejected.
o dam(o)	is/are objected to
Application Papers	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on is ☐ an	proved Delicerous
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to by the Ev	omine.

Application Papers	requirement.
 □ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's P □ The proposed drawing correction, filed on □ The drawing(s) filed on □ The specification is objected to by the Exam □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) 	is □ approved □ disapproved. is/are objected to by the Examiner. iner.
 ✓ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for forei ✓ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIE ✓ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/S 	gn priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ED copies of the priority documents have been Serial Number) from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).

 received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number) received in this national stage application from the International Bureau *Certified copies not received: 	(PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)).
Attachment(s)	•
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s)	☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948	☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

Office Action Summary

Application/Control Number: 10/071,177

Art Unit: 3652

OFFICE ACTION

- 1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

species A, Figs. 1-10;

species B, Fig. 11a;

species C, Fig. 11b;

species D, Fig. 11c;

species E, Fig. 11d;

species F, Fig. 12; and

species G, Fig. 13-16.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1 and 8 appear to be generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include

Application/Control Number: 10/071,177

Art Unit: 3652

all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

- 3. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - Claims 1-7, drawn to material handling apparatus of the type, classified in class 414, subclass 331; and
 - II. Claims 8-16, drawn to material handling apparatus of the type classified, classified in class 414, subclass 935+.
- 4. Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the Group II invention claims 8 and 16 (combination) does not require the particular sub-combination Group I (lines 6-12) of claim1. The subcombination has separate utility such as in combination with a vehicle/article storage apparatus or a coin operated delivery system.

Art Unit: 3652

- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to these requirements to be complete must include an election of a single invention per section 3 above and a single species therewith per section 2 above the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143). Species A-C are applicable to inventions I and II.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 308-2565. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30-7:30 A.M. to 5:00-6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, E. D. Lillis, can be reached on (703) 308-3248. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-4177.

EILEEN D. LILLIS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

12/13/53 Johnson/kn October 2, 2003